

NWQI Watersheds - 2015	Answers	Descriptions
HUC	170501190404; 170501190601; 170900090204	
1. Have there been any significant advances in the state-NRCS partnership since the inception of the NWQI in 2012? Examples of advances could include: more interaction on shared priorities, new or improved engagement on state technical committees or EQIP subcommittee, sharing of relevant information between agencies on watershed issues or implementation, or increased levels of partnership with the larger agricultural community supported by NRCS as a result of NWQI, etc. Please respond with your degree of agreement below and provide a short description.		
a. Prior to the inception of the NWQI (i.e., 2012), the relationship between state water quality agencies and NRCS was positive and collaborative and has been maintained. 1) Strongly Agree 2) Agree 3) Neutral 4) Disagree 5) Strongly Disagree		
b. As a result of the NWQI (and since 2012), the state water quality agency or NRCS have established newly productive working relationships and program/resource coordination. 1) Strongly Agree 2) Agree 3) Neutral 4) Disagree 5) Strongly Disagree		
c. The NWQI inspired new collaborations that are, or will be, expanded beyond NWQI watersheds. 1) Strongly Agree 2) Agree 3) Neutral 4) Disagree 5) Strongly Disagree		
2. Has your state been actively working to acquire conservation practices data (aggregated or other format) from NRCS in selected watersheds for NWQI monitoring purposes? If yes, has this been successful?		
3. Have partners communicated about implementation progress in the past year?		

  

NWQI Watersheds - 2014	Answers	Descriptions
HUC	170501190404; 170501190601; 170900090204	
1. Have there been any significant advances in the state-NRCS partnership since the inception of the NWQI in 2012? Examples of advances could include: more interaction on shared priorities, new or improved engagement on state technical committees or EQIP subcommittee, sharing of relevant information between agencies on watershed issues or implementation, or increased levels of partnership with the larger agricultural community supported by NRCS as a result of NWQI, etc. Please respond with your degree of agreement below and provide a short description.		
a. Prior to the inception of the NWQI (i.e., 2012), the relationship between state water quality agencies and NRCS was positive and collaborative and has been maintained. 1) Strongly Agree 2) Agree 3) Neutral 4) Disagree 5) Strongly Disagree	1) Strongly Agree	NRCS, ODEQ, ODA, and OWEB had already established collaborative working relationships prior to NWQI and had a signed MOA prior to 2012
b. As a result of the NWQI (and since 2012), the state water quality agency or NRCS have established newly productive working relationships and program/resource coordination. 1) Strongly Agree 2) Agree 3) Neutral 4) Disagree 5) Strongly Disagree	4) Disagree	There were pre-existing partnerships at local and state level including DEQ and NRCS.
c. The NWQI inspired new collaborations that are, or will be, expanded beyond NWQI watersheds. 1) Strongly Agree 2) Agree 3) Neutral 4) Disagree 5) Strongly Disagree	4) Disagree	There were pre-existing partnerships at local and state level including DEQ and NRCS.

NWQI Watersheds - 2015	Answers	Descriptions
HUC	170501190404	
1. Is there a 9-element watershed based plan in place?		
2. If "yes," is the watershed-based plan informing the locations and types of practices that are being implemented under the NWQI? If "no," are larger pollution source areas identified within the watershed?		
3. Identify the most important partners in this watershed project supporting implementation in the watershed. <b>LIST ALL THAT APPLY.</b>		
4. What types of nutrient, sediment, and pathogen related water quality activities (funded via 319, state match, or other NPS funds) are being implemented in this NWQI watershed? <b>LIST ALL THAT APPLY</b>		
5. Please provide estimates of 319 grant funds expended or budgeted in FY2015 for the activities in question 4.		
6. Please provide estimates of 319 state match funds expended or budgeted in FY2015 for the activities in question 4. If available, please provide an estimate of additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in these activities in this watershed. Do not include NRCS funds.		

NWQI Watersheds - 2014	Answers	Descriptions
HUC	170501190404	
1. Is there a watershed based plan, TMDL, TMDL implementation plan, or other plan in place?	yes	Management area plan: <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/oda/shared/Documents/Publications/NaturalResources/MalheurAWQMAreaPlan.pdf">http://www.oregon.gov/oda/shared/Documents/Publications/NaturalResources/MalheurAWQMAreaPlan.pdf</a> <a href="http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/tmdls/malheurriver.htm">http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/tmdls/malheurriver.htm</a>
2. Is the plan in question 1 informing the locations and types of practices that are being implemented under the NWQI? Or, are some other assessment or planning documents being used to guide implementation?	yes	If other planning documents, please provide a short description.
3. Identify the most important partners in this watershed project supporting implementation in the watershed. <b>CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.</b>	Local farmers and ranchers, watershed groups, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Other state, local, or tribal agencies	If feasible, please provide total approximate investments of key partners here beyond amounts reported in question 5.
4. What types of nutrient, sediment, and pathogen related water quality activities (funded via 319, state match, or other NPS funds) are being implemented in this NWQI watershed? <b>CHECK ALL THAT APPLY</b>	monitoring, implementation tracking, watershed planning, outreach, coordination, technical assistance, conservation practice implementation	If other, please describe here.
5. Please provide estimates (or review/confirm numbers drawn from GRTS) of 319 grant funds expended or budgeted in FY2014 for the activities in question 4.	No data in GRTS	
6. Please provide estimates (or review/confirm numbers drawn from GRTS) of 319 state match funds expended or budgeted in FY2014 for the activities in question 4. If available, please provide an estimate of additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in these activities in this watershed. Do not include NRCS funds.	No data in GRTS	As available, please provide estimate for additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in this watershed.

NWQI Watersheds - 2015	Answers	Descriptions
HUC	170501190601	
1. Is there a 9-element watershed based plan in place?		
2. If "yes," is the watershed-based plan informing the locations and types of practices that are being implemented under the NWQI? If "no," are larger pollution source areas identified within the watershed?		
3. Identify the most important partners in this watershed project supporting implementation in the watershed. <b>LIST ALL THAT APPLY.</b>		
4. What types of nutrient, sediment, and pathogen related water quality activities (funded via 319, state match, or other NPS funds) are being implemented in this NWQI watershed? <b>LIST ALL THAT APPLY</b>		
5. Please provide estimates of 319 grant funds expended or budgeted in FY2015 for the activities in question 4.		
6. Please provide estimates of 319 state match funds expended or budgeted in FY2015 for the activities in question 4. If available, please provide an estimate of additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in these activities in this watershed. Do not include NRCS funds.		

NWQI Watersheds - 2014	Answers	Descriptions
HUC	170501190601	
1. Is there a watershed based plan, TMDL, TMDL implementation plan, or other plan in place?	yes	Management area plan: <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/oda/shared/Documents/Publications/NaturalResources/MalheurAWQMAreaPlan.pdf">http://www.oregon.gov/oda/shared/Documents/Publications/NaturalResources/MalheurAWQMAreaPlan.pdf</a> <a href="http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/tmdls/malheurriver.htm">http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/tmdls/malheurriver.htm</a>
2. Is the plan in question 1 informing the locations and types of practices that are being implemented under the NWQI? Or, are some other assessment or planning documents being used to guide implementation?	yes	If other planning documents, please provide a short description.
3. Identify the most important partners in this watershed project supporting implementation in the watershed. <b>CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.</b>	Local farmers and ranchers, watershed groups, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Other state, local, or tribal agencies	If feasible, please provide total approximate investments of key partners here beyond amounts reported in question 5.
4. What types of nutrient, sediment, and pathogen related water quality activities (funded via 319, state match, or other NPS funds) are being implemented in this NWQI watershed? <b>CHECK ALL THAT APPLY</b>	monitoring, implementation tracking, watershed planning, outreach, coordination, technical assistance, conservation practice implementation	If other, please describe here.
5. Please provide estimates (or review/confirm numbers drawn from GRTS) of 319 grant funds expended or budgeted in FY2014 for the activities in question 4.	No data in GRTS	
6. Please provide estimates (or review/confirm numbers drawn from GRTS) of 319 state match funds expended or budgeted in FY2014 for the activities in question 4. If available, please provide an estimate of additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in these activities in this watershed. Do not include NRCS funds.	No data in GRTS	As available, please provide estimate for additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in this watershed.

NWQI Watersheds - 2015	Answers	Descriptions
HUC	170900090204	
1. Is there a 9-element watershed based plan in place?		
2. If "yes," is the watershed-based plan informing the locations and types of practices that are being implemented under the NWQI? If "no," are larger pollution source areas identified within the watershed?		
3. Identify the most important partners in this watershed project supporting implementation in the watershed. <b>LIST ALL THAT APPLY.</b>		
4. What types of nutrient, sediment, and pathogen related water quality activities (funded via 319, state match, or other NPS funds) are being implemented in this NWQI watershed? <b>LIST ALL THAT APPLY</b>		
5. Please provide estimates of 319 grant funds expended or budgeted in FY2015 for the activities in question 4.		
6. Please provide estimates of 319 state match funds expended or budgeted in FY2015 for the activities in question 4. If available, please provide an estimate of additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in these activities in this watershed. Do not include NRCS funds.		

NWQI Watersheds - 2014	Answers	Descriptions
HUC	170900090204	
1. Is there a watershed based plan, TMDL, TMDL implementation plan, or other plan in place?	yes	WQ Management area plan: <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/oda/shared/Documents/Publications/NaturalResources/MolallaPuddingAWQMAreaPlan.pdf">http://www.oregon.gov/oda/shared/Documents/Publications/NaturalResources/MolallaPuddingAWQMAreaPlan.pdf</a> <a href="http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/tmdls/docs/willamettebasin/MolallaPudding/MoPudExecutiveSummary.pdf">http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/tmdls/docs/willamettebasin/MolallaPudding/MoPudExecutiveSummary.pdf</a>
2. Is the plan in question 1 informing the locations and types of practices that are being implemented under the NWQI? Or, are some other assessment or planning documents being used to guide implementation?	yes	If other planning documents, please provide a short description.
3. Identify the most important partners in this watershed project supporting implementation in the watershed. <b>CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.</b>	watershed groups, Local farmers and ranchers, Soil and Water Conservation Districts	If feasible, please provide total approximate investments of key partners here beyond amounts reported in question 5.
4. What types of nutrient, sediment, and pathogen related water quality activities (funded via 319, state match, or other NPS funds) are being implemented in this NWQI watershed? <b>CHECK ALL THAT APPLY</b>	implementation tracking, watershed planning, outreach, coordination, technical assistance, conservation practice implementation	DEQ does not have projects in Brandy creek. ODA has Molalla/Pudding plan and implements.
5. Please provide estimates (or review/confirm numbers drawn from GRTS) of 319 grant funds expended or budgeted in FY2014 for the activities in question 4.	No data in GRTS	
6. Please provide estimates (or review/confirm numbers drawn from GRTS) of 319 state match funds expended or budgeted in FY2014 for the activities in question 4. If available, please provide an estimate of additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in these activities in this watershed. Do not include NRCS funds.	No data in GRTS	As available, please provide estimate for additional state NPS funds (beyond match) invested in this watershed.

Focus is water conservation.